

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/900,555	AUSICH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia A Patten	1654	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia A Patten.

(2) Atty. Kent Herink.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed amending minor informalities in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. A Draft Examiner's amendment was faxed to Mr. Herink. Mr. Herink also faxed a draft amendment. The Examiner's amendment was completed and agreed upon.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: Approx. 3pm EST



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)